

Corruption Audit Plan for 2017–19

A balanced and effective program of audits for July 2017 to June 2019

One of the ways the Crime and Corruption Commission (CCC) strives to reduce the incidence of corruption in the public sector and increases public sector agencies' complaints handling and prevention capabilities is by conducting a program of audits.

Our audit program

In developing an audit program, the CCC gathers a wide range of information, applies thorough analysis, and undertakes extensive stakeholder consultation. Our program is based on the following four principles:

Focus

By targeting emerging, entrenched, systemic, or otherwise significant threats, the CCC audit program aims to assess and provide recommendations on high-risk issues in the public sector that are research-based, realistic, and relevant to the public interest.

Value

The audits add value by providing advices on reframing procedural gaps and that aid in addressing corruption risks and controls efficiently, effectively and appropriately.

Method

By engaging in frequent research, internal review, and inter-agency collaboration, the CCC audit program engages in continuous improvement and innovation of the audit process, ensuring that all audits are conducted to best practice methodology.

Scope

By engaging in research into allegation trends, remaining aware of areas of public interest, and remaining vigilant for possible auditable complaints, the CCC audit planning process ensures that the topics, agencies and sectors assessed during audits are high-risk, sufficiently broad, and effectively fulfil the goals of the program.

How did we decide what to audit?

In deciding on the audit topics, we:

- Seek to understand what the CCC's legislative obligations and priorities are.
- Conduct environmental and risk scanning. Examples: examine corruption allegations
 data; review clusters of allegations reports on high-risk areas; and source published
 reports from other integrity bodies.
- Consult with internal and external stakeholders to gain perspectives on current, probable and potential corruption risks. This consultation helps us to refine our topics.
- Assess each audit topic based on a consideration of factors: risk priorities, probability of CCC having an impact, and the potential for CCC to address matters of public interest.
- Consider the spread of activity across themes, sectors and high-risk agencies, and our resourcing capabilities.

We believe the audit program addresses our legislative obligations while remaining responsive to areas of public interest.

Completed audits in the first year (2017-18)

The CCC completed five audits:

	Topic	Areas of focus	Status
1	Conflicts of	The audit assessed whether councils' mechanisms for	Completed
	interest	identifying corruption risks, the processes for managing,	
		preventing and detecting conflicts of interest and dealing	
		with complaints related to conflicts of interest are	
		effective. (Excludes councillors or mayors under sections	
		172 and 173 of the Local Government Act 2009).	
		Sector: Local Government	
2	Corruption risk	The audit assessed the effectiveness of six representative	Completed
	assessment	agencies' corruption risk assessment processes.	
		Sectors: Department and Statutory Body	
3	Police corruption	In this audit, the CCC assessed complaints of corruption to	Completed
	assessment	determine how well the Queensland Police Service were	
		complying with their obligations under the <i>Crime and</i>	
		Corruption Act 2001 (CC Act).	
		Sector: Queensland Police Service	
4	Secondary	The CCC has completed an audit of secondary	Completed
	employment	employment, which is classed as additional paid or unpaid	
		employment performed by an employee. Our corruption	
		audit assessed how well departments and statutory bodies	
		managed corruption risks associated with secondary	
		employment.	
		Sectors: Department and Statutory Body	
5	Chemical	The CCC's audit assessed four agencies, across the	Completed
	management	department, university and statutory body sectors,	
		regarding their management of risks associated with	
		chemicals of security concern.	
		Sectors: Department, University and Statutory Body	

Deferral of audits (2018-19) (NEW)

The CCC's original corruption audit plan for 2017–19 included:

- an audit of record keeping requirements required under recent amendments to the CC Act
- an audit to examine councillors' interests and use of information.

Both audits were planned in anticipation of relevant legislation being introduced in early 2018. Following delays in the enactment of that legislation, both audits have been delayed until the 2019–20 financial year.

Topic	Reasons for deferral
Record keeping requirements	Deferred due to delays in the passing of legislation to amend the CC Act (passed on 9 November 2018). The deferral of this audit will allow public sector agencies to implement a process in compliance with the new statutory obligation requirement [s40A CC Act] – agencies must prepare and retain complete and accurate records of any decision not to notify the CCC of an allegation of corruption. The passage of time will enable the CCC to test how effectively agencies are recording their decisions from assessments of allegations involving corruption notified to them.
Councillors' interests and use of information	There have been significant changes in local governments in response to the CCC's Belcarra Report and ongoing CCC investigations impacting on this sector. A number of changes have been made to the <i>Local Government Act 2009</i> and the <i>City of Brisbane Act 2010</i> , and further changes are anticipated. This audit has been deferred to enable a more timely review of the long term strategy for the local government in terms of governance and decision-making. The audit should add more value in the next audit plan 2019-21.

We have replaced the two deferred audits with new ones (see No. 3 and 4 in the table below – our planned audits for 2018–19).

Our planned audits for the second year (2018-19) (REVISED)

For the financial year 2018-19, we plan to complete the following four audits.

	Topic	Areas of focus	Schedule
1	Probity in contracts	Examine whether public health agencies are demonstrably achieving value for money from their goods/services contracts. The audit will also evaluate how effectively any agency has dealt with allegations of corrupt conduct relating to procurement and contract management. Sectors: Public health, Department, Local Government and Statutory Bodies	Jul-Dec 2018
2	Failure of duty	Assess how appropriately two departments have dealt with allegations of corruption relating to failure of duty, and their further prevention measures (see note 1 below). Sector: Department	Jul-Dec 2018

	Topic	Areas of focus	Schedule
3	Section 40 non-reportable	Review agencies' non-reportable corrupt conduct matters with a view to possibly making changes to the directions	Jan-Jun 2019
	matters (NEW)	issued by the CCC, under section 40 of the CC Act, about how and when particular matters must be reported (see note 2 below). Sectors: Department and Local Government	
4	Community grants (NEW)	Examine councils' conduct of the award of community grants, with particular focus on management of risk and probity. Sector: Local Government	Jan-Jun 2019

Note 1 – Failure of duty allegations can involve failures to comply with legal, policy or reporting obligations or failures to execute duties to an appropriate standard. This type of conduct includes failures resulting from a lack of knowledge, competence or appropriate care or diligence but do not include deliberate acts or omissions with the intent to gain a benefit or cause detriment to another.

Note 2 – Non-reportable matters are complaints of corrupt conduct that under section 40 of the CC Act may be dealt with by the agency without having to report them to the CCC.

How we will communicate audit results?

While not all CCC audit reports are made available to the public, audit reports and summary reports (redacted) may be published on our website to promote the public confidence in public administration. Public sector agencies who participated in the audit are provided with a report which communicates the findings and areas for improvements.

How we measure the success of our audit program?

The success of the audit program is indicated by public sector agencies' responsiveness to audit findings and the implementation of reformative measures which increase their capabilities in complaints handling or prevention responses to achieve the intended purposes.