

Submission 8 - William Tait -

re the Crime and Corruption Commission's "Making allegations of corrupt conduct public Is it in the public interest?" discussion paper and invitation for public submissions of June 2016 and seemingly related matters.

To the Chairperson Mr Alan Sporran QC:

Dear sir,

While, the electoral cycle, may indeed, seem to, like, procure, and especially so, in this day and age, hype, of all manner, in the very popularists' press, I don't think that, the, like, Party-political exploitation of, the, kind of, extra-juridical processes, and such-like, and so forth, spawned by, the infamous Fitzgerald Inquiry, and, er, ah, just-purportedly so (of late anyhow) - facilitated, subsequently, by way of the very inaugural Criminal Justice Commission, and that if to say, later proposed, like, manifestations thereof,

would, merely be seen to be, like, limited to, election periods, and near times, and certainly, in my own opinion, anyhow, the sort of cumbersome, to say the very least, restrictions, on the Everyman's access, to the said extra-juridical process, in the very wake of, the disbanding of, the precursor to, the Crime and Corruption Commission (CCC), i.e. the old Crime and Misconduct Commission (CMC), would seem to only indicate, I say, with all due respect, of course, that, the very Members of, the legislator, itself, are, increasingly, more and more, seeing the said process - or things like the very CCC that is, as, like, mere playthings, to be exploited, as they might please - or just see fit, for, like I say, mostly just, Party-political purposes. ...

So, well, with that said, I would

only hasten, to refer, of course, to
the constitutionally derived-and
otherwise established-doctrine of
the very separation of the various
powers of government (i.e. executive,
judicial, and legislative), and point
out, that, as I would only see it
all, anyhow, the true ambit, of the
very said, inaugural C.I.C., was to be
a watchdog-of sorts-over the said
executive-or (if you like) purely ad-
ministrative-arm of government-i.e.
including the police force, in order
to, inter alia, guard against-like-
political influences -and especially
from Members of the legislator, and
well, moreover, whilst I suspect that,
some, like, more incompetent elec-
ted Members-and their more ~~yes~~
sycophantic admirers (at any rate)
have failed to see the distinctions
between, parliamentary committee-
i.e. like the former Parliamentary Crime
and Misconduct Commission Committee,
and mere organs (then) of the said

executive arm of government - i.e., such as (in that very context) the old CMC, surely, recent history will only show, to the effect of that, there's been, far too much, like, "grandstanding", or that is, like, pious pontification, in the very - as they say - "hallowed" halls of the Parliament (or "coward's castle" - if you like), with the-like-predictable then-kind of pompous pro forma reference to the said process - or mere executive organ (or - once was CMC that is - or what-have-you), when - well, if it would be just - i.e., not merely frivolous and/or vacuous and then-worth-like-following up - at all, such, er, "references", to be more true to our very common law system, of a constitutional democracy, complete with the said separation of powers, ought to, only have been, confined to the very parliamentary process, it-self, and, you know, through the

most appropriate ethics committee —
and/or the very Speaker's office — with —
like-one eye on the Black Rod — or Ser-
geant at Arms — or what-have-you. . .

Oh, so, only with respect, of course,
and in a bona fide exercise of
Free Speech, in a, truly, free, fair, and
democratic, society, that is to say,
I might just, pose the question,
as to, whether or no, maybe, I
mean, in light of the introduction,
or that is, very so-called "Back-
ground" — information, provided
therein, the said C.C.C. — discussion
paper, might only be seen to be,
at least somewhat, politically moti-
vated, in and of itself, which, well,
it must be said, I think, may
only serve to, like, exacerbate, the
appearance of bias, such that I
have alluded to above, already,
herein, in relation to the Everyman's
(or — if you like — ordinary citizen's) ac-
cess to the process — for (as I'm sure that
you would be well aware) whilst elected Mem-

bess and (so-called) public servants
and the like are practically at liberty
to (provide "information" that is or)
prattle off allegations and suchlike and so
forth and so on-as they may just see
fit*-said ordinary citizens are subjected
to the far more cumbersome process-
dare I say it-indignation (or-at any
rate-an implied question then-as to
their very integrity)-of having to go
on oath? ...

Be that as it may, I would just say,
like, more generally, that, I think
that, such things as, criminal sanctions,
or even, merely, a, more, like, civil
contempt charge-i.e. (as opposed to re-
lated judicial processes-such that may
be-or become-involved) of the said
process (or-for now anyhow-C.C.C.)
would only, appear as, like, "overkill"
as they say, for, at all events, such may
potentially subvert-or anyhow appear
to do-the very time-honoured com-
mon law principles of "Open Court",

*presumably without threat-like-so to say-
of being "cross examined"-on their very said
utterances-they... (Page-No.6.)

and really, sub judice protection - or
the like (i.e. so that such might be seen
to extend beyond the mere time of
a matter being formally filed in a
Court of law - to the time that a
formal - i.e. preferably - I dare say -
written then - complaint is made to
the C.C.C. - or the like - of the very day
that is), should suffice, i.e. so that,
e.g. whilst it would always be open
to draw inferences, against the
very integrity - or genuineness (or bona fides)
- if you like - of would-be complai-
nants - or informants - or what-have-
yous, should they make public com-
ments (whether - so to say - before or
after the fact) about (purportedly
made then) complaints that they are
or might at some time become - a
party to - in some way or another,
no prejudice, in the true spirit of
the rights to silence and a fair
hearing etc., would be allowed,
against those who, simply, like, de-
clined to comment, as regards alle-
gations of corrupt conduct, such

that they might be argued to be - in some way - involved in - i.e. whether as respondent or otherwise. ...

At all events though, of course, any measures, to be introduced, would arguably, have to be, like, carefully drafted, so as to be, beyond reproach, in respect of the very two part test - or underlying tenets thereof at least - espoused by the High Court of Australia in cases such as Levy, Theophanous, and Lange etc.

Oh, and... well, just as a bit of an - like - afterthought, I suppose, I might just add that, given the proposed interpretations thereto - by the like - powers that be - at the federal level - anyhow, literally read, exemptions to the privacy laws, might seem to have some bearing, in this matter, as well, for, as I seem to be informed, if a citizen makes some mention of their dealings with a governmental entity, even in private that is, to a Local Member - or what-have-you,

or, worse still, I guess, publicly - like-in the media for example, then, well, it seems to have only been held, to the effect of that, in the former case, the governmental entity, concerned (so to speak), would be at liberty, to (like) even ~~quietly~~ quietly (if it likes) - disclose, whatever personal information, such that it might hold, as to the affairs of the very citizen (in point), to the Member involved - presumably - for such to then do as it sees fit (with same), and likewise, in the latter example, the governmental entity (in point), may make, any public comment, such that it - or its various employees (i.e. alone or in collaboration - with their superiors - I guess...) - may see fit, as to such affairs, including, so as to disclose such personal information (whether in part or in full), to the media - or very (as I say) popularists' press?... Well, I seem to be, like, all but, quite snowed under, at the very moment, so, well, if you don't mind, ter page - No. 9 (P.I.O.)

ribly much, like, I'll just, like, leave it at that, for now, or that is, you know, offier, your enquiry, in this instance, kind of thing, this much, or my humble submissions herein, as I say, in the very public interest, hopefully, anyway, as well, too.

Thank you then, for your consideration of these matters.

Yours sincerely



Mr William "Bill (Billy)" Peter Tait

