

Submission 62 – Greg Williams

**From:** Greg Williams [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 7 October 2016 6:41 PM  
**To:** Mailbox <[Mailbox@ccc.qld.gov.au](mailto:Mailbox@ccc.qld.gov.au)>  
**Subject:** Invitation by the Chair of the CCC to submit further submissions

**CRIME AND CORRUPTION COMMISSION CHAIR INVITES FURTHER SUBMISSIONS**

I note that the Chair of the Crime and Corruption Commission (CCC) invited further submissions at the conclusion of today's public forum.

I assume that the Chair's invitation will result in all further submissions appearing on the CCC website in addition to those already available via the CCC website. If this is not the case, please advise me of the reasons to withhold further submissions from interested Queenslanders.

I am pleased to **attach** a submission title "Darren Hall's Story, Crime and Corruption in the Crime and Corruption Commission".

The submission sets out examples why the CCC's campaign to further empower its bureaucrats to gag disclosure of misconduct and criminality by complainants should be strongly resisted. This submission addresses whether "it is in the public interest for allegations of corrupt conduct to be publicised, and if not, what legislative and other options are available to prevent it".

There are a number of key matters that go to the integrity of the CCC's (and other government agencies) fitness to exercise a discretion to decide whether it is in the public interest for allegations of corrupt conduct to be publicised or not.

If any of my submission documents are to be redacted, please advise me of the procedure you apply to submissions. Further, I do not expect any redactions to apply to the matters I raised about the conduct of specific CCC/CMC officer's failures to discharge their duties. If I am incorrect, please advise me why specific redactions have been made other than to protect the officers identified from reasonable public scrutiny.

Your responses will be further useful feedback to the CCC's fitness to exercise discretions when deciding whether to destroy the lives of decent Queensland citizens like Darren Hall.

Having watched the CCC's public forum over the past two days, I note that there was generally bias and indifference demonstrated by the CCC panel when it ignored the heart-rending lives that whistleblowers endure for years when they bravely make a public disclosure. I travelled the whistleblower road twenty years ago with the Criminal Justice Commission. As Mr Lindeberg stated today, I too was like a lamb to the slaughter. I submit that the CCC has learned nothing about the heart-rendering impact it has on whistleblowers when it consistently fails to

discharge its duty when a whistleblower suffers a reprisal. Disappointingly the CCC panel repeatedly focussed on the sensitivities of politicians during an election campaign. Few people care about this CCC obsession. Just do your job and look after the whistleblowers you are legally and duty bound to protect.

Please ensure these comments are included with my submission.

Greg Williams LL.B

