

From: Doug Young [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 18 October 2016 5:24 PM
To: Crime and Corruption Commission
Subject: HPRM: Supplementary Submission

Introduction

Interestingly my previous submission was apparently the first received but the last (in the first batch) to be published. The comments I made previously apply in full, ie the criminal official entities involved in perpetuating the guardianship racket WILL be exposed and brought to account, whether or not the utterly inept rubber-stamp that is the CCC plays a part or otherwise. How anyone could even consider saying something vaguely complimentary about an ostensible watchdog as completely ineffective as the CCC is beyond my comprehension, but then the majority of submissions published to date are far from complimentary about the CCC. To suggest that the media be banned from doing what pitifully little exposure of official chicanery it does accomplish only adds to the already widespread public cynicism of the most toothless watchdog ever conceived in recorded history.

Firstly, legislation creating the CCC is seriously flawed in that almost nothing is identified as corruption. Whilst an extremely charitable person might conclude that the CCC cannot be held responsible for deliberately shonky legislation, the silence on the part of CCC management has been deafening. One can only conclude that the government carefully selected management drones who wouldn't challenge its motives in emasculating what was left after previous de-fanging. The latest attempt to remove what precious little effectiveness was left proves conclusively that neither the government nor the CCC want their dirty linen hung out in public.

Secondly, the CCC claims that it is to be focussed on investigating and eradicating official crime and corruption in Queensland have been proven farcical by virtually every complaint rejected or flicked to the entity responsible for the complaint to do an internal investigation. Even the most retarded individual would perceive the inanity of expecting the public to accept an internal investigation as fair-dinkum.

Thirdly, the CCC protection of a number of hopelessly incompetent departments, including the health department, local government department, and the criminally abusive and exploitative enterprises QCAT, the OPG and the PTQ speaks volumes for its appointment as a rubber stamp for government policy.

Conclusion

This whole event was intentionally conceived as a means of legitimizing a con. No government really believes in accountability and whilst the CCC has never been a remotely effective watchdog, its very existence clearly threatens the sleep of our 'honorable' members. Since the first batch of submissions was overwhelmingly critical of the CCC, a second round was deemed necessary to (hopefully) attract a few CCC-friendly submissions. One can only wonder what kind of skullduggery will be rolled out to manipulate the desired result if / when the second batch turns out to be even more critical than the first.