

OP GRAND

EXHIBIT No. 240  
.....  
.....  
..... CLERK

> -----Original Message-----

> From: YOUNG Peter  
> Sent: Wednesday, 20 July 2005 10:42  
> To: SHEPHERD Ted  
> Subject: your concern

> Ted

> I note your concern regarding statements made in my submission to Minister Desley Boyle regarding yourself and in particular a fund raising function recently held for your 2008 election campaign.

> Those claims were also contained within an abridged version of the submission which I have made available to certain members of the public, and which I believe you are in possession of. In a covering note accompanying that document, which you may not be in possession of, but which I referred to in Council on Monday 18th, I stated in bold type:

> My intention is certainly not to defame any person or entity, and should any person or entity feel that I have done so then I invite them to contact me in the first instance requesting a retraction or other means to address that concern. My principle and over-riding interest is that the public interest must be served.

> My commitment to that position is unquestioned. Please advise me of the facts in question related to the fund raising function and I will distribute a statement retracting any false elements in my submission and offering a full apology for any harm done to you, as none was intended.

> Peter Young

\*\*\*\*\*  
This email and its contents is confidential to the Gold Coast City Council, and un-  
authorised use is strictly prohibited.  
\*\*\*\*\*

> -----Original Message-----  
> From: YOUNG Peter  
> Sent: Thursday, 21 July 2005 10:01  
> To: SHEPHERD Ted  
> Subject: FW: your concern

> -----Original Message-----  
> From: YOUNG Peter  
> Sent: Wednesday, 20 July 2005 10:42  
> To: SHEPHERD Ted  
> Subject: your concern

> Ted

> I note your concern regarding statements made in my submission to Minister Desley Boyle regarding yourself and in particular a fund raising function recently held for your 2008 election campaign.

> Those claims were also contained within an abridged version of the submission which I have made available to certain members of the public, and which I believe you are in possession of. In a covering note accompanying that document, which you may not be in possession of, but which I referred to in Council on Monday 18th, I stated in bold type:

> My intention is certainly not to defame any person or entity, and should any person or entity feel that I have done so then I invite them to contact me in the first instance requesting a retraction or other means to address that concern. My principle and over-riding interest is that the public interest must be served.

> My commitment to that position is unquestioned. Please advise me of the facts in question related to the fund raising function and I will distribute a statement retracting any false elements in my submission and offering a full apology for any harm done to you, as none was intended.

> Peter Young

\*\*\*\*\*  
This email and its contents is confidential to the Gold Coast City Council, and un-  
authorised use is strictly prohibited.  
\*\*\*\*\*

> Yours sincerely

>

>

> Peter Young

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: SHEPHERD Ted

> Sent: Friday, 22 July 2005 10:07

> To: YOUNG Peter

> Subject: Submission to Desley Boyle, Minister Local Government.

>

> As per your offer, could you please supply me with a copy of the submission.

>

> Cr Ted Shepherd

> Chair - City Planning Committee

> Councillor for Division 9.

> Gold Coast City Council.

>

> Phone: 07 5582 8876

> Fax: 07 5596 6010

> Mobile: 0414 180 009

> Email: eshepherd@goldcoast.qld.gov.au

>

\*\*\*\*\*  
This email and its contents is confidential to the Gold Coast City Council, and un-  
authorised use is strictly prohibited.  
\*\*\*\*\*

> -----Original Message-----

> From: YOUNG Peter

> Sent: Friday, 22 July 2005 15:59

> To: SHEPHERD Ted

> Subject: RE: Submission to Desley Boyle, Minister Local Government.

>

> Ted

>

> what I 'offered' at Council on Monday was a copy of the document I sent to members of the community to accompany the amended version of the submission. It included the paragraph I referred for your attention in my two previous emails. The entire content is provided below.

>

> I reconfirm my intent was not and is not to defame you or any other person. I deliberately removed information to try to prevent that from arising. If you are able to provide details relevant to your concern I am, as I previously advised, prepared to immediately issue a statement to rectify that.

>

> regards

>

> Peter

>

> \*\*\*\*\*

>

>

> Hello

>

> Please find attached an amended version of a submission I have today despatched to the Minister for Local Government, the Honourable Desley Boyle MP. In this document I seek to establish that there are facts and circumstances that must arouse the concern of the Minister sufficiently to launch a public enquiry into the Gold Coast City Council.

> I have unfortunately had to delete lots of names and huge amounts of detail from this version of the document so as to make the best attempt to protect myself from any defamation charges. This diminishes the quality of the document somewhat, but adds weight to my claim that the Minister needs to call an enquiry and have these matters (and others) brought out into the open - without the fear of strategic defamation suits to shut people up.

>

> The section most heavily edited is principally about Councillors voting on matters involving developers who contributed to the trust fund. The real facts in that section are particularly intriguing. I provided to the Minister details of development proposals, election fund contributions, voting behaviour, etc.

>

> My intention is certainly not to defame any person or entity, and should any person or entity feel that I have done so then I invite them to contact me in the first instance requesting a retraction or other means to address that concern. My principle and over-riding interest is that the public interest must be served.

>

> My feeling is that the Queensland Government will be disinterested in launching yet another enquiry, especially into a Local Government. With all due respect to the State Government and its Ministers, I believe they have a very considerable obligation to defend and act in the public interest, which can only be served by undertaking a public enquiry.

>

> I encourage you to read this document with an open mind and to make your own decision. Can you, and will you, help?

>